

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 081862P232D 5622 05/09/2001 Ethan Spiegel 09/852,755 **EXAMINER** 10/31/2005 7590 DUONG, FRANK Stephen T. Neal BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

2666

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/852,755	SPIEGEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank Duong	2666	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 O	<u>ctober 2005</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	, <del>_</del>		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-32</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 11-32 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		
o/ claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
The oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOMIN PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Application/Control Number: 09/852,755 Page 2

Art Unit: 2666

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is a response to communications dated 10/17/05. Claims 11-32 are pending in the application.

# **Drawings**

2. The drawings were received on 02/22/05. These drawings are not acceptable and thus objected to because it would introduce new matter inconsistent with the parent application 08/876,952. The divisional application should set forth the portion of the earlier disclosure that is germane to the invention as claimed in the divisional application. In a response to this Office Action, Applicants are urged to delete Figure 2 of the drawings.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/852,755 Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

3. Claims 11-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-12 and 20-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,862,284. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 8-12 and 20-24 of patent '284 teaches essentially the same steps as claims 11-32 of the current application. Even though claims 11-32 are broadened by omitting certain limitations (i.e., "wherein the manufacturer-specific value is assigned by a manufacturer of the device ad maintained consistent across a class of devices manufactured by a same manufacturer"), it has been held that the omission of an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note Ex parte Rainu, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd. App. 1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be an obvious variation.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as indicated above upon the availability of the newfound reference.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,755

Art Unit: 2666

White Paper (IBM PNNI Control Point, Zurich Research Lab, pages 1-61, March 1997) discloses (pages 15-16) PNNI addressing scheme to include the first 12 bytes of the ATM address are common for all nodes in a peer group, the 13<sup>th</sup> byte of the ATM address is chosen to uniquely identify the switches in the peer group and the next 6 bytes of the ATM address are the end system identifier. The claimed limitation of assigning PNNI peer group identification to a device based on a manufacturer of the device and a product group to which the device belongs, in combination to other limitations, makes it clear the distinction between the claimed invention with that known in the prior art.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-3164. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/852,755

Art Unit: 2666

FRANK DUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 26, 2005